Making special better Pearl Liang IEPG, Vancouver, November 2013





History

+IANA IPv6 special registry created for IETF assignments from a dedicated /23

+IPv4 special assignments were documented in RFC 3330 until a dedicated /24 was allocated and a registry created

+ Staff discussed updating the RFC with ADs but restructuring the registry was proposed instead



2

In the olden days

+Focus on which prefixes were special

+Not why they were special

+Not exactly how they were special

+Not all prefixes listed

+Like a reading list rather than a set of answers



Here's an example of the old registry structure

IANA IPv6 Special Purpose Address Registry

Reference

[RFC4773]

Note

Address prefixes listed in the Special Purpose Address Registry are not guaranteed routability in any particular local or global context. Other special IPv6 addresses requiring specific considerations for global routing are listed in [<u>RFC5156</u>].

Registration Procedures

IETF Consensus

Prefix 🖾	Assignment 🗵	Date Designated 🗵	Termination Date 🗵	Purpose 🗵	Contact Details 🗵	Routing Scope 🗵	Reference 🗵
2001:0000::/32	TEREDO	2006-01-10		Anycast		Scoped	[RFC4380]
2001:0002::/48	BMWG	2008-04-08	never	Benchmarking	See RFC and Errata	Not Routed	[RFC5180]
2001:10::/28	ORCHID	2007-03-21	2014-03-21	Overlay	See RFC	Not Routed	[RFC4843]



Nowadays... (1 of 2)

+All special prefixes are listed

+The registry now usefully shows Boolean information about whether an address can be a:

+Source address or a

+Destination address



- +Whether routers may forward packets with a destination address in a special prefix
- +Whether the address is globally scoped
- +Whether all compliant stacks have to behave this way "Reserved by protocol"



The new and improved structure

Address Block 🗵	Name 🔟	RFC 🔟	Allocation Date 📡	Termination Date 😰	Source	Destination	Forwardable	Global	Reserved- by- Protocol
0.0.0/8	"This host on this network"	[<u>RFC1122</u>], section 3.2.1.3	September 1981	N/A	True	False	False	False	True
10.0.0/8	Private-Use	[<u>RFC1918]</u>	February 1996	N/A	True	True	True	False	False
100.64.0.0/10	Shared Address Space	[<u>RFC6598]</u>	April 2012	N/A	True	True	True	False	False
127.0.0.0/8	Loopback	[<u>RFC1122</u>], section 3.2.1.3	September 1981	N/A	False[<u>1</u>]	False[<u>1]</u>	False[<u>1]</u>	False[<u>1</u>]	True
169.254.0.0/16	Link Local	[RFC3927]	May 2005	N/A	True	True	False	False	True
172.16.0.0/12	Private-Use	[<u>RFC1918]</u>	February 1996	N/A	True	True	True	False	False
192.0.0.0/24[<u>2</u>]	IETF Protocol Assignments	[<u>RFC6890</u>], section 2.1	January 2010	N/A	False	False	False	False	False
192.0.0.0/29	DS-Lite	[RFC6333]	June 2011	N/A	True	True	True	False	False
192.0.0.170/32, 192.0.0.171/32	NAT64/DNS64 Discovery	[RFC-ietf-behave-nat64-discovery- heuristic-17], section 2.2	February 2013	N/A	False	False	False	False	True
192.0.2.0/24	Documentation (TEST-NET-1)	[<u>RFC5737]</u>	January 2010	N/A	False	False	False	False	False
192.88.99.0/24	6to4 Relay Anycast	[RFC3068]	June 2001	N/A	True	True	True	True	False
192.168.0.0/16	Private-Use	[<u>RFC1918]</u>	February 1996	N/A	True	True	True	False	False
198.18.0.0/15	Benchmarking	[RFC2544]	March 1999	N/A	True	True	True	False	False
198.51.100.0/24	Documentation (TEST-NET-2)	[<u>RFC5737]</u>	January 2010	N/A	False	False	False	False	False
203.0.113.0/24	Documentation (TEST-NET-3)	[<u>RFC5737]</u>	January 2010	N/A	False	False	False	False	False
240.0.0/4	Reserved	[RFC1112], section 4	August 1989	N/A	False	False	False	False	True
255.255.255.255/32	Limited Broadcast	[RFC919], section 7	October 1984	N/A	False	True	False	False	False



Consultation on notification

We are developing a notification engine that will allow notifications to be sent using different mechanisms

Mechanisms might include e-mail, RSS/ Atom feeds and even Twitter **Example** Internet Assigned Numbers Authority

DOMAINS NUMBERS PROTOCOLS ABOUT IANA

About IANA Introduction to IANA Performance Reporting Procedures Presentations Public Reports **Reviews** Glossary of terms

Excellence & Quality Contact us

Consultation on Secure Notification Processes

This consultation has concluded. A report of public comments has been compiled, and the record of submitted comments is published on the ICANN Public Comment page.

Consultation Objective

The Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) functions contract^[1] (SA1301-12-CN-0035) between ICANN and the United States Department of Commerce. National Telecommunications Information Administration (INTA) to maintain the continuity and stability of services related to certain interdependent Internet technical management functions, known collectively as the internet Assigned Numbers Authority calls for a public consultation from all interested and affected parties to help satisfy the following objective:

C.3.2 Secure Systems Notification — The Contractor shall implement and thereafter operate and maintain a secure notification system at a minimum, capable of notifying all relevant stakeholders



Future better

+A notifications service is coming and it will let you know about registry changes and when to pull a new copy to update filters

+We want to make something better than word of mouth

+Hope that helps!



Thank You & Questions?



pearl.liang@icann.org or leo.vegoda@icann.org