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Executive Summary
This monthly report provides statistical information of IANA operations as they relate to the IETF. Also included are the deliverables for this reporting period in accordance with the SLA between ICANN and the IAOC with the effective date 1 January 2010 (currently awaiting approval and signature).

Statistics
As outlined in the IETF–IANA SLA, IANA is tasked with collecting and reporting on IETF-related statistics.

Below you will find the list of statistics as requested by the SLA, a description of what queue’s statistics are being provided to fulfill that deliverable and an analysis of the data for each queue. The actual charts representing the data can be found at http://www.iana.org/reporting-and-stats/index.html.

This month’s statistics were generated through running scripts against a ticketing system log database. The charts were generated using java program using Jfreechart library.

The following types of charts have been defined for each queue for the reporting year 2010:

• Month to month comparison histogram of requests created/closed/open
• Month to month comparison histogram of age groups of closed tickets
• This month’s absolute age of closed requests
• Month to month comparison histogram of age groups of open tickets
• This month’s absolute age and current state of open requests
• Month to month comparison of mean, median and standard deviation for processing times of closed tickets
• Histogram for cumulative IETF requests for created/closed/resolved at the end of the reporting period and the year to date.

IESG approved documents (a)
Requests in the “drafts-approval” queue begin at the time IANA receives a notification of an approval or intent to publish a document and end when the RFC-Editor has acknowledged receipt of the notification of completed actions by IANA

DRAFTS-APPROVAL QUEUE
IANA completed a total of 26 requests for the month of September (11 of which had no IANA actions). 96% of the total requests (including documents with NO IC) were completed within the goal of 14 IANA days or less. The most total IANA processing time was 16 days. This was mainly due to additional time and communications needed to
make sure the registry was in the correct format. The highest total time for processing these requests was 48 days.

As of the last day of September there were 6 requests open. One document had significant total time as it is on hold waiting for another document to catch up. An additional document also had a large total time due to waiting on the author to provide IANA additional information regarding references. The 4 remaining documents were being processed as normal.

Reference Updates (b)
The requests in the “drafts-update-refs” queue begins at the time the RFC-Editor notifies IANA of the RFC number assigned to a document that had actions performed by IANA and ends with IANA updating all references to the document in IANA registries.

DRAFTS-UPDATE-REFS QUEUE

IANA completed a total of 12 requests for the month of September. 100% of the requests were completed within the 7 IANA day goal range. The highest total processing days for these requests was 3 days. As of the end of the month there were 2 open requests and they were being processed as normal.

Last Calls (c)
Requests begin at the time IANA receives a notification of Last Call from the IESG and ends with IANA submitting official comments to the IESG. Each request in the statistics represents a separate/individual Last Call, even if the Last Call is being repeated.

DRAFTS-LASTCALL QUEUE

A total of 22 requests were completed for the month of September. 88% of the requests were completed within their time goals (breakdown below). One request was 1 day over the goal time but was submitted by the due date. Another request was 2 days late and 1 day past the due date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Call Time Frame</th>
<th>Total Requests</th>
<th>Completed within time goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of the end of the month there were 5 open requests and all were following normal processing.

Evaluations (d)
Requests begin at the time IANA receives a notification of Evaluation from the IESG and ends with IANA submitting official comments to the IESG. Each request in the statistics represents a separate/individual Evaluation, even if the Evaluation is being repeated.
DRAFTS-EVALUATION QUEUE

A total of 23 requests were completed in the month of September. 91% of the requests had IANA days of 7 or less. The IANA days do not include the time that the document is waiting for Last Call to finish. Two requests had 8 IANA processing days, however comments were submitted before the document was discussed on the IESG telechat. As of the last day of the month there were 3 requests open and were following normal processing.

Media (MIME) type requests (e, f)
IANA receives requests for registration of new Media types. Also received, but rarely, are modification and deletion requests for Media types. All of these are processed in the “iana-mime” queue. These requests begin with the receipt of an application for (or modification/deletion of a Media type and end with the request being resolved with a successful registration, removal or modification. In some cases the requests are closed due to withdrawal of the request by the requester or via administrative closure, typically due to lack of response from the requester. We understand that MIME Media types are currently referred to as just “Media Types”. The queue “iana-mime” however, was named prior to this change.

IANA-MIME QUEUE

A total of 20 requests were closed in the month of September. 100% of the requests had IANA days of 14 or less. The highest total processing days was 78 primarily due to waiting on the expert. For the 16 requests that were sent to the expert, the average review time was 40 days.

At the end of the month, there were a total of 5 open requests. All requests were being processed as normal and all but 1 request was waiting on the expert or requester for a response. One request has been open longer than usual as the requester indicated the name of the company will be changing and we are waiting for them to notify IANA. The open requests had no more than 3 IANA processing days as of the end of the month.

New Port number requests (g)
IANA receives requests for assignment of new user port numbers. These requests are processed in the “iana-ports” queue. Port requests begin with the receipt of an application for a user port number and end with the request being resolved with a successful registration, withdrawn by the requester, or administratively closed.
IANA-PORTS QUEUE

There were a total of 21 requests closed in the month of September. 100% of those requests were processed with an IANA time within the 14-day goal. The average total time for port requests this month was 29 days and the average response time for the expert was 17 days. The highest total time for closed port requests this month was 93 days.

As of the end of the month there were 36 requests open. All of these requests had IANA days of 3 or less, and all but 4 requests were waiting on the expert or requester. One request has a high number of total days as of the last day of the month due to a long expert review time. There are multiple back and forth communications between the expert and the requester resulting in longer processing times. This request is expected to be resolved soon.

**Modification to and/or deletions of Port number requests (h)**

PORT-MODIFICATION QUEUE

*IANA receives requests for modification of existing port numbers. Also received, but are rare, are deletion requests. Both of these are processed in the “port-modifications” queue. These requests begin with the receipt of a modification (or deletion) request and end with the request being resolved with a successful modification (or removal) or closed due to withdrawal or administrative closure.*

During this reporting period, there were 13 closed requests. 92% of the requests were completed within the goal processing time of 7 IANA days or less. The highest total processing time was 281 days with 237 IANA days. This request required additional review with the ports expert group and the IESG. The majority of the request time was with IANA trying to determine if the port was in use and required soliciting information from other parties.

At the end of September, there were 3 requests open. For the remaining 3 requests, all were waiting on the requester for additional information.

**New Private Enterprise Number (PEN) requests (i)**

All PEN (Private Enterprise Numbers) type requests are processed in an automated program that does not go through IANA’s ticketing system. The tool includes new, modification and deletion requests. The tool does not yet produce statistics similar to what is available for the other protocol parameter queues. Raw data shows that 187 new PENs were assigned in September 2010.
Modification to and/or deletions of PEN requests (j)

Modifications and/or deletions of PENs occur in a separate tool in which the statistics production is not yet available. More information can be found above in the “New Private Enterprise Number (PEN) requests” section. Raw data shows that 13 existing PENs were modified in September 2010.

New IANA TRIP ITAD Numbers (k)

IANA receives requests for assignment of new TRIP ITAD numbers. These requests are processed in the “iana-trip” queue. Requests begin with the receipt of an application for a TRIP ITAD number and end with the request being resolved with a successful registration, withdrawn by the requester, or administratively closed.

IANA-TRIP QUEUE

There were a total of 14 IANA-TRIP requests closed in the month of September. 100% of the closed requests had an IANA time of 7 days or less. The highest number of total processing time for requests this month was 6 days. As of the last day of September, there were no requests open.

Requests relating to other IETF-created registries for which the request rate is more than five per month (l)

For those registries where there are more than 5 requests per month, IANA creates a separate queue for tracking those tickets.

IANA-MULTICAST QUEUE

There were 4 multicast request closed during the month of September. 100% of these requests were processed within the goal times. One request had 55 total days mostly due to long periods with the requester. Another request had a 41 total days, equally split between the requester and the expert. As of the last day of the month there were no requests open.

IANA-PROT-PARAM QUEUE

Note: The IANA-PROT-PARAM queue is for all the miscellaneous requests that are not processed in a separate queue due to the lack of volume for any one type of request. These requests can be first-come first-served, expert review, IESG approval or another review method. In the SLA, processing goals are determined on the type of request. However, for this queue there is no separation of request type.
There were 10 requests closed during the month of September. 100% of the requests were processed within the appropriate IANA time goals (see breakdown below). The highest number of total processing days for these requests was 129 (for 2 requests). This was due to an extended time with the expert.

CLOSED REQUESTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request Type</th>
<th>Number of Requests</th>
<th>IANA goal time</th>
<th>Requests completed within goal</th>
<th>Admin Closed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Come First Serve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7 days or less</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert Review</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14 days or less</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Allocation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14 days or less</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were 6 requests open as of the end of the month. Three requests were being processed as normal. The 3 remaining requests that were open for more than 60 days are described in the table below.

OPEN REQUESTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Days Open</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>This request has high total days as it is adding a large amount of registrations to a registry that is currently being converted to XML. These large amounts of registrations will be added programmatically as the registry is converted. Progress has been made in XML’izing the registry and the experts are currently reviewing the work IANA has completed for accuracy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>This request is for a new DNS RR Type. This involves a review on a mailing list. The mailing list review is still underway and the experts have informed IANA that they expect resolution soon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>This request is for an EDNS0 option. This registry requires an RFC for assignment. We have forwarded this to the IESG to see if Early Allocation Procedures could apply. The Area Director is checking with the appropriate Working Groups for more information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deliverables

In accordance with the SLA, the IANA is reporting on the following deliverables due within nine (9) months of implementation of the agreement for the reporting year 2010:

1) Provide publicly accessible, clear and accurate periodic statistics (continual)
2) Track and publicly report on a monthly basis (monthly report - continual)
3) Single points of failure documentation to IETF-IANA Working Group (continual)

**Provide publicly accessible, clear and accurate periodic statistics**

See “Statistics” section of this report and also http://www.iana.org/reporting-and-stats/index.html.

**Track and publicly report on a monthly basis (monthly report)**

The SLA describes 3 items IANA will provide monthly reports for. These items are outlined below along with a description of actions taken for each.

a. Resource allocation statistics as described in SLA item 19

In item 19 of the SLA, there is a detailed list of statistics to be produced for the monthly report. The agreed upon partial statistics are found in the “Statistics” section of this report.

b. The utilization of all identified finite resources defined within ICANN/IANA registries

The IANA is undertaking a project to review all registries to identify those that are finite and additionally those that are in danger of being exhausted. As of the end of this reporting period, no registries have been identified as being in danger of exhaustion. IANA will continue to report findings in future monthly reports.

c. Efforts that have addressed single points of failure/expertise (see item 3 in the SLA)

**Single points of failure documentation to IETF-IANA Working Group (continual)**

In conjunction with the monthly report, the IANA submits a separate document to the IETF-IANA Group documenting what steps have taken place to examine all known single-points of failure related to the IETF work. For those known single-points of failure, IANA will describe what actions were taken to correct where the point existed or what plan has been put in place for future resolution. During this reporting period, no single-point of failure was identified.

**Conclusions**

In September 2010, IANA cumulatively met 90% of the goal processing times. The average for meeting IANA processing time goals over all queues was 97%. The terms for
the IANA-IETF SLA for 2010 have tentatively been approved and the agreement is currently awaiting signature.